

Module/Course Description

BASICS OF MODELLING FOR FORESTRY AND LANDSCAPE (MNH 419)

| A. Mo | A. Module Identity | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | Name | Basics of Modelling for Forestry and Landscape | | | |
| 2. | Code | MNH 419 | | | |
| 3. | Credit | 3 (2-3) | | | |
| 4. | Semester | 7 | | | |
| 5. | Coordinator | Prof. Dr. Ir. Herry Purnomo, M.Comp. | | | |
| 6. | Lecturers | Prof. Dr. Ir. Herry Purnomo, M.Comp. | | | |
| | | Dr. Ir. Budi Kuncahyo, MS. | | | |
| 7. | Language | Indonesian | | | |
| 8. | Program(s) in which | Internal department: Forest Management Study Program | | | |
| | the course is offered | Other departments: | | | |
| 9. | Type of teaching | a. Traditional classroom: 100 % | | | |
| | | b. Blended system: Traditional classroom%, Online% | | | |
| | | c. e-Learning system:% | | | |
| | | d. Others:% | | | |

| B. Workload of course components (total contact hours and credits per semester) | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---------|---------------|------------|----------|------------|-------|-------|
| Сі | edit | | Contact Hours | | | Self-Study | Other | Total |
| SKS *) | ECTS | Lecture | Exercise | Laboratory | Practice | Self-Study | other | |
| 3 | | 28 | | | 42 | 56 | | 126 |

*) Semester credit unit according to the Indonesian higher educational system

1 credit unit lecture = 2 hours/ week for lecture and 2 hours/ week for self-study within 14 weeks/ semester 1 credit unit class exercise or laboratory or field practice = 3 hours/week within 12-14 weeks/semester **) 1 hour for lecture= 50 minutes; 1 hour for class exercise or laboratory or field practice = 60 minutes

C. Module Objective (Learning Outcomes)

Student having the ability to think systematically and to develop the simple simulation models

in forestry and landscape

| No. | LO in Learning Domains | Teaching Strategies | Assessment Methods |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| a. | Knowledge | | |
| 1. | Students are able to | Presentation of teaching | Midterm Exam (5%) |
| | comprehend the forestry | materials. | |
| | and landscape issues | Debriefing sessions | |
| | holistically | | |
| 2. | Students are able to explain | Presentation of teaching | Midterm Exam (5%) |
| | the landscape approach | materials. | |
| | | Debriefing sessions | |
| 3. | Students are able to | Presentation of teaching | Midterm Exam; |
| | interpret the synergies and | materials | Independent Task |
| | trade-offs of forest and | Debriefing sessions | (5%) |
| | landscape management | Practice Lessons | |
| 4. | Students are able to outline | Presentation of teaching | Midterm Exam; |
| | the driver factor and their | materials | Independent Task |
| | effect on landscape change | Debriefing sessions | (5%) |
| | | Practice Lessons | |
| 5. | Students are able to explain | Presentation of teaching | Midterm Exam; |
| | the model of climate change | materials | Independent Task |
| | mitigation and adaptation | Debriefing sessions | (10%) |
| | | Practice Lessons | |
| 6. | Students are able to outline | Presentation of teaching | Midterm Exam; |
| | the basics of adaptive and | materials | Independent Task |
| | collaborative management in | Debriefing sessions | (10%) |
| | the landscape management | Practice Lessons | |
| 7. | Students are able to | Presentation of teaching | Midterm Exam; |
| | illustrate the interaction | materials | Independent Task |
| | between natural resources, | Debriefing sessions | (10%) |
| | management techniques, | Practice Lessons | |
| | actors, institutions, and | | |
| | governance | | |

| 8. | Students are able to explain | Presentation of teaching | Final Exam; |
|----|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | the systems approaches and | materials | Independent Task |
| | multi-disciplinary in forestry | Debriefing sessions | (5%) |
| | and landscape management | Practice Lessons | |
| b. | Skills | | |
| 1. | Students are able to build the | Presentation of teaching | Final Exam; |
| | system dynamic model in | materials | Independent Task |
| | landscape management | Debriefing sessions | (15%) |
| | | Practice Lessons | |
| c. | Competences: | | |
| 1. | Students are able to create | Presentation of teaching | Final Exam; |
| | the models and future | materials | Independent Task |
| | scenarios for landscape and | Debriefing sessions | (30%) |
| | forest management based on | Practice Lessons | |
| | the ecological, economic and | | |
| | social aspect | | |

| E. Module Content | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| List of Topic | Number of Weeks | Contact Hours | | |
| A general model of the landscape and its components | 1 | 2 | | |
| Landscape management objectives and indicators | 1 | 2 | | |
| The model of interaction between forestry, plantations, food | 1 | 2 | | |
| agriculture, and mining | | | | |
| Population growth, markets, international pressure and climate | 1 | 2 | | |
| change | | | | |
| The reciprocal interaction between landscape with climate change | 1 | 2 | | |
| mitigation and adaptation | | | | |
| The basis for landscape management and adaptive management of | 1 | 2 | | |
| natural resources | | | | |
| Landscape governance | 1 | 2 | | |
| Complex system theory | 1 | 2 | | |
| System dynamics modeling | 2 | 4 | | |
| Landscape management | 4 | 8 | | |

| F. Course Assessments | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| No. | Assessment Type *) | Schedule (Week Due) | Proportion of the Final Mark | |
| 1. | Mid-term examination | 8 th week | 40 % | |
| 2. | Independent task | End of each week | 20 % | |
| 3. | Final examination | 16 th week | 40 % | |

*) Example: mid-term examination, final examination, quiz, homework, project, etc.

G. Media Employed

- Classroom
- Laptop
- LCD
- Modeling software
- Microphone (loudspeaker)

H. Learning Resources

h1. Textbooks:

- 1. Chapin III FS, Kofinas GP, Folke C (Eds.). 2009. *Principles of Ecosystem Stewardship: Resilience-Based Natural Resource Management in a Changing World*. Springer. 402p.
- 2. Chomitz KM. 2007. *At Loggerheads? Agricultural expansion, poverty reduction and environment in the tropical forests*. The World Bank, Washington DC. 284pp.
- 3. Grant JW, Pedersen EK, Marin SL. 1997. *Ecology and Natural Resource Management: System Analysis and Simulation.* Reading: Addison-Wesley.
- 4. Kuncahyo. 2006. *Model simulasi pengaturan hasil lestari yang berbasis kebutuhan masyarakat desa hutan*. Disertasi. IPB, Bogor.
- 5. Lee KN. 1993. *Compass and Gyroscope: Integrating Science and Politics for the Environment*. Washington D.C.: Island Press.
- 6. Ostrom E. 2007. Sustainable social-ecological systems: an impossibility. Presented at the 2007 Annual Meetings of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, "Science and Technology for Sustainable Well-Being," 15–19 February in San Francisco and Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences (USA).
- 7. Purnomo H. 2012. *Pemodelan dan Simulasi untuk Pengelolaan Adaptif Sumberdaya Alam dan Lingkungan*. Bogor: IPB Press
- 8. Schlaepfer R. 1997. *Ecosystem-Based Management of Natural Resources: a Step Towards Sustainable Development*. Occasional paper no. 6. Austria: IUFRO.
- 9. Schlaepfer R, Elliott C. 2000. Ecological and landscape considerations in forest management: The end of forestry. In K. von Gadow, T. Pukkala & M. Tom6 (Eds), Sustainable forest management (p. 1-67). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers Shafik N. 1994. Economic development and environmental quality: an econometric analysis. Oxford Economic Papers 46 (October): 757–773
- 10. Sterman JD. 2000. *Business Dynamics: Systems Thinking and Modeling for a Complex World. Madison* Wisconsin: Irwin McGraw-Hill.

h2. Journal:

- Purnomo H, Suyamto D, Irawati RH. 2013. Harnessing the climate commons: an agentbased modelling approach to making reducing emission from deforestation and degradation (REDD) + work. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*. 18(3): 471-489
- Purnomo H, Mendoza GA. 2011. A system dynamics model for evaluating collaborative forest management: A Case Study in Indonesia. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology.* 18(2): 164–176

- 3. Purnomo H, Guizol P, Muhtaman DR. 2009. Governing the teak furniture business: A global value chain system dynamic modeling approach. *Environmental modelling and software*. 24 (12): 1391-1401.
- 4. Purnomo H, Guizol P. 2006. Simulating forest plantation co-management with multiagent-system. *Mathematical and Computer Modeling.* 44:535-552
- Purnomo H, Mendoza GA, Prabhu R, Yasmi Y. 2005. Developing multi-stakeholder forest management scenarios: a multi-agent system simulation approach. *Forest Policy and Economics*. 7: 475–491
- Purnomo H, Yasmi Y, Prabhu R, Hakim S, Jafar A, Suprihatin. 2003. Collaborative modeling to support forest management: qualitative systems analysis at Lumut Mountain Indonesia. *Small-scale Forest Economics, Management and Policy*. 2(2): 259-275